

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/779,648	LIU ET AL
		Examiner	Art Unit
		SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK	2611

All Participants:

(1) SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK

(2) Dan McClure

Date of Interview: 18 November 2009

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 4-6, 9, 12, 19, 20-25, 23-24, 27, 29.

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed incorporating subject matter of claims 4-5 into independent claims. Also see attached OA appendix for details of the discussion for the claim amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)